Fiscal Year 2022 ACEP-ALE Michigan Ranking Form

Landowner Name	•		
Address:	State:	Zip:	County:
Date:	Easement Acres:	Total Poi	ints:
Completed by:			
Verified by:			
Staff from entities	submitting applications for Δ	CEP-AIE fiin	ding consideration will

Staff from entities submitting applications for ACEP-ALE funding consideration will determine an overall score for the parcel based on the following ranking criteria. After the parcels have been ranked, the ACEP-ALE Coordinator will review the ranking for each parcel. Parcels will be placed in ranked order and the State Conservationist will make funding selections by highest ranking.

Eligibility Criteria. Check one.

- 1. Has prime, unique, or other productive soil (attach soils map and documentation)
- 2. Contains historical or archaeological resources (attach historical documentation)
- 3. The enrollment of which would protect grazing uses and related conservation values by restoring and conserving land

National Ranking Factors

1) Agricultural Capacity. Priority is placed on productive far	rmland that has unique
growing characteristics as demonstrated by the presence of Prin	me, Unique or Statewide
Importance soils (Please round to whole numbers).	
Formula: Total Acre of Prime, Unique or Statewide Important S	Soils X 60
Total Parcel Acres	
(Score must be greater than 30 points for Eligibility Criteria	1)
(Max 60 points)	Points
2) Ratio of cropland, pastureland and grassland of the parcels(s) to b	e protected to non-
agricultural land.	•
(Max 15 points)	Points
100% - 85%	15 pts
84% - 70%	10pts
69% - 50%	5 pts
49% - 33%	0 nts

2) D. di C. d. d			
3) Ratio of total acres of land in the parcel to average farm size in the	county according to		
the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture.			
Formula: Ratio = (Parcel Size/Average Farm Size per County)			
(www.agcensus.usda.gov). (See Appendix A)	Dainta		
(Max 15 points)	Points		
Ratio greater than 2	15 pts		
Ratio of 2 – 1.1	10 pts		
Ratio of 1 or lower	0 pts		
4) Percent decrease of farm and ranch land acreage in the county that t			
using the last two USDA Census of Agriculture. (See Appendix A			
(Max 10 points)	Points		
Decrease more than 15%	0 pts		
Decrease from 15% - 10.1%	5 pts		
Decrease from 10% - 5.1%	10 pts		
Decrease from 5% - 0.1%	5 pts		
Decrease of 0%	0 pts		
5) Percent population growth in the county that the parcel is located in	as documented by		
the U.S. Census (<u>www.census.gov</u>). (See Appendix B)			
(Max 10 points)	Points		
Growth rate less than 1.0%	0 pts		
Growth rate of 1.0% - 3.0%	10 pts		
Growth rate of 3.1% - 5.0%	5 pts		
Growth rate more than 5.0%	0 pts		
6) Population density (per square mile) of the county that the parcel is	located in as		
documented by the most recent U.S. Census. (See Appendix B)			
(Max 10 points)	Points		
Density less 175	0 pts		
Density of 175 - 350	10 pts		
Density of 351 - 525	5 pts		
Density more than 525	0 pts		
7) Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and			
rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county in			
is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture.	I		
(See Appendix A).			
(Max 5 points)	Points		
Less than 0.0%	5 pts		
Greater than 0.0%	0 pts		
8) Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan establis			
farm viability for future generations.	inca to address		
(Max 5 points)	Points		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Yes	5 pts		
No	0 pts		
9) Proximity of parcel to other permanently protected land, including to	nılıtary		
installations.	D		
(Max 20 points)	Points		
Parcel is adjacent to protected land.	20 pts		
Parcel is not adjacent to but within ½ mile of protected land.	15 pts		
Parcel is not adjacent to but is more than ½ mile to within 2 miles	10 pts		
of protected land.			
★	1		

10) Proximity of parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure.		
(Max 20 points)	Points	
Parcel is adjacent to other agricultural operations.	20 pts	
Parcel is not adjacent to but within ½ mile of other agricultural	15 pts	
operations.		
Parcel is not adjacent to but is more than ½ mile to within 2 miles	10 pts	
of other agricultural operations.		
11) Contiguous Acres devoted to agricultural use (cropland, pasture, hay	land).	
(Max 20 points)	Points	
Larger than 150 acres	20 pts	
between 150 – 100 acres	15 pts	
between $100 - 50$ acres	10 pts	
between 50 – 30 acres	5 pts	
less than 30 acres	0 pts	
12) Is the parcel currently enrolled in a CRP contract set to expire within a year or is		
under a CRP Transition Incentive Program (TIP)?		
(Max 5 points)	Points	
Yes	5 pts	
No	0 pts	
13) Will the grassland in the parcel benefit from the protection under a long term		
easement?		
(Max 5 points)	Points	
Yes	5 pts	
No	0 pts	

Total National Points (200 max)

State Ranking Factors

1) Zoning	
Is the location of the parcel in an area Zoned for Agricultural Use?	
(Max 25 points) Point	ts
The parcel is designated for agricultural use.	25 pts
The parcel is not designated for agricultural use.	0 pts
2) To promote the diversity of natural resources protected does the easement co	•
lakes, rivers, or wetlands? Check all that apply.	oints
Forest greater than 10 acres.	5 pts
Wetland greater than 2 acres.	5 pts
Lake or river frontage of more than a quarter mile	5 pts
3) Road frontage (paved or gravel) adjacent to parcel to facilitate access to man	kets and
agricultural infrastructure.	nts
No road frontage.	0 pts
Road frontage less than ¼ of a mile.	5 pts
Road frontage is ¼ mile or more but less than ½ mile.	15 pts
Road frontage is ½ mile or more but less	20 pts
³ / ₄ of a mile.	
Road frontage is ³ / ₄ mile or more.	25 pts

4) To provide additional socioeconomic benefits, is any portion of the subject 1		
enrolled in the Commercial Forest Act (part 512 of NREPA), Hunter Access Program, or		
will the conservation easement deed provide for the non-motorized recreational use by		
members of the public? Points		
Yes	10 pts	
No	0 pts	
5) Entity Cash Match. This is determined by the following Formula: (Entity's Funds)/Purchase Price		
(Max 20 points) Points		
50%	20 pts	
49%-30%	15 pts	
29% - 10%	10 pts	
< 10%	5 pts	
6) Percent Matching Funds. This is determined by the following Formula:		
(Entity's Funds + Landowner Donation)/Appraised Fair Market Value		
(Max 35 points) Points	S	
90% - 71%	35 pts	
70% - 61%	25 pts	
60% - 51%	10 pts	
50%	5 pts	
7) Is the farm MAEAP Verified in Cropping, Farmstead and/or Livestock Syste	ms?	
Please provide copy of the verification certificate or other documentation. (Mic		
Agriculture Environmental Assurance Program – www.maeap.org)	8	
(Max 15 points) Points		
Yes	15 pts	
No	0 pts	
8) Multifunctional Conservation Values, Social and Economic (Max 15 points) Points		
Limited Resource Farmer or Rancher	5 pts	
Veteran Farmer or Rancher	5 pts	
Socially Disadvantaged Farmer or Rancher	5 pts	
9) To benefit Multifunctional Conservation Values, Enhancing Carbon	- 1	
Sequestration and Improving Resiliency to Adverse Weather on agricultural lan	A	
(Max 10 points) Points	d.	
Does the agricultural operation utilize no-till, permanent hay, pasture, or orchard?	10 pts	
Does the agricultural operation utilize strip till, conservation tillage or are there existing buffer practices installed on the farm?	5 pts	
No	0 pts	
10) Multifunctional Conservation Values, Historical and Archaeological	o pus	
(Max 10 points) Points	S	
Does the parcel have any known historical or archaeological significant sites located on the property?	10 pts	
Has the parcel ever had an historical or archaeological investigation by an archaeologist?	5 pts	
None	0 pts	

11) Does the parcel have habitat for a Federal or State listed or Candidate for lis	ting
Species?	
(Max 10 points) Points	
Yes	10 pts
No	0 pts
12) To achieve state conservation goals in farmland protection, is the parcel cu	ırrently
enrolled in the Farmland and Open Space Program (P.A. 116) or similar local pr	ogram?
(Max 10 points) Points	
Yes	10 pts
No	0 pts
TE (10 () D () (000	``

Total State Points (200 max)

Grand Total	(Copy to front page)	
Entity Signature		Date

Appendix A. Average Farm Size, Percent Decrease in Farm Land & Percent Decrease in Permanent Grass land. (USDA Census of Agriculture 2012 and 2017)

County	Average Farm Size (Acres)	Decrease in Farmland (%)	Decrease in Permanent Grassland (%)
Alcona	163	-5%	-2.23%
Alger	166	18%	-3.46%
Allegan	196	-15%	-0.27%
Alpena	158	-6%	-2.92%
Antrim	167	-13%	-1.32%
Arenac	249	7%	-1.38%
Baraga	271	-1%	-0.60%
Barry	165	-6%	-0.50%
Bay	289	8%	-0.29%
Benzie	94	-10%	-0.31%
Berrien	166	-8%	-0.85%
Branch	303	-2%	-0.61%
Calhoun	223	-5%	-0.37%
Cass	266	5%	-0.66%
Charlevoix	110	-20%	1.77%
Cheboygan	133	-3%	1.95%
Chippewa	209	-4%	0.14%
Clare	138	-13%	1.46%
Clinton	226	-6%	0.18%
Crawford	65	7%	-3.91%
Delta	232	-17%	-0.21%
Dickinson	140	-23%	0.33%
Eaton	218	-6%	-1.23%
Emmet	121	-1%	-2.09%

County	Average Farm Size (Acres)	Decrease in Farmland (%)	Decrease in Permanent Grassland (%)
Genesee	151	1%	-0.51%
Gladwin	128	-13%	0.03%
Gogebic	103	-9%	-2.87%
Grand Traverse	102	-7%	-0.61%
Gratiot	365	3%	-0.74%
Hillsdale	211	-3%	-0.48%
Houghton	125	-4%	-1.16%
Huron	430	9%	0.62%
Ingham	195	-11%	-0.51%
Ionia	245	-6%	-1.04%
Iosco	139	-11%	-0.83%
Iron	176	2%	2.99%
Isabella	221	12%	-1.17%
Jackson	174	-12%	-1.52%
Kalamazoo	196	-3%	0.50%
Kalkaska	121	5%	0.03%
Kent	156	0%	-1.10%
Keweenaw	27	-25%	0.45%
Lake	129	-17%	-3.62%
Lapeer	163	-6%	1.25%
Leelanau	106	-16%	-0.66%
Lenawee	283	12%	-0.67%
Livingston	123	4%	-2.27%
Luce	139	-15%	-4.60%
Mackinac	248	12%	-3.18%
Macomb	182	8%	-2.08%
Manistee	151	-7%	2.52%
Marquette	169	-1%	-9.20%
Mason	181	8%	-0.90%
Mecosta	166	-6%	-1.33%
Menominee	226	-13%	-2.23%
Midland	165	-2%	0.63%
Missaukee	280	14%	-2.36%
Monroe	193	-2%	-0.02%
Montcalm	239	-3%	-1.42%
Montmorency	147	7%	2.42%
Muskegon	133	-15%	0.05%
Newaygo	160	8%	-3.64%
Oakland	56	-9%	0.52%
Oceana	233	-1%	-0.55%
Ogemaw	238	3%	-1.02%
Ontonagon	238	-7%	-6.03%

County	Average Farm Size (Acres)	Decrease in Farmland (%)	Decrease in Permanent Grassland (%)
Osceola	166	-6%	-1.88%
Oscoda	112	-3%	0.97%
Otsego	172	3%	1.60%
Ottawa	152	-8%	-1.56%
Presque Isle	200	-21%	1.27%
Roscommon	120	-23%	-0.56%
Saginaw	262	6%	0.01%
St. Clair	332	-4%	-0.49%
St. Joseph	242	-23%	6.99%
Sanilac	217	-6%	-0.52%
Schoolcraft	169	1%	-0.55%
Shiawassee	273	10%	-0.12%
Tuscola	266	1%	-0.68%
Van Buren	159	-13%	-0.88%
Washtenaw	144	5%	-1.03%
Wayne	40	-36%	0.30%
Wexford	132	0%	-1.50%

Appendix B. Population Growth Rate & Population Density (US Census, 2010)

County	Population Growth Rate	Population Density
Alcona	-4.50%	16.2
Alger	-1.50%	10.5
Allegan	2.10%	135
Alpena	-2.10%	51.8
Antrim	-1.30%	49.6
Arenac	-3.60%	43.8
Baraga	-2.40%	9.9
Barry	0.20%	107
Bay	-1.50%	243.7
Benzie	0.00%	54.8
Berrien	-1.00%	276.2
Branch	-3.90%	89.4
Calhoun	-0.90%	192.8
Cass	-1.30%	106.7
Charlev	0.70%	62.3
Cheboyg	-1.90%	36.6
Chippew	-0.50%	24.7
Clare	-0.90%	54.8
Clinton	2.50%	133.1

County	Population Growth Rate	Population Density
Crawfor	-2.40%	25.3
Delta	-1.40%	31.7
Dickinso	-0.80%	34.4
Eaton	0.80%	187.4
Emmet	1.50%	69.9
Genesee	-3.10%	668.5
Gladwin	-1.10%	51.2
Gogebic	-4.40%	14.9
Grand	4.20%	187.3
Gratiot	-1.90%	74.7
Hillsdale	-1.90%	78.1
Houghto	-0.40%	36.3
Huron	-3.30%	39.6
Ingham	1.30%	505.1
Ionia	0.60%	111.9
Iosco	-1.80%	47.1
Iron	-3.80%	10.1
Isabella	0.40%	122.8
Jackson	-0.30%	228.4

County	Population Growth Rate	Population Density
Kalamaz	3.30%	445.7
Kalkask	1.40%	30.6
Kent	4.20%	711.5
Keween	2.80%	4
Lake	-1.70%	20.3
Lapeer	-0.20%	137.4
Leelana	0.90%	62.5
Lenawee	-0.90%	133.3
Livingst	2.50%	320.2
Luce	-3.20%	7.4
Mackina	-0.60%	10.9
Macomb	2.20%	1,754.90
Maniste	-1.30%	45.6
Marquet	0.90%	37.1
Mason	0.40%	58
Mecosta	0.90%	77.1
Menomi	-1.30%	23
Midland	-0.20%	162
Missauk	1.30%	26.3
Monroe	-1.50%	276.7
Montcal	-0.70%	89.8
Montmo	-5.00%	17.9

County	Population	Population
	Growth Rate	Density
Muskeg	0.10%	344.9
Newayg	-1.20%	59.6
Oakland	2.90%	1,385.70
Oceana	-1.30%	51.9
Ogemaw	-3.10%	38.5
Ontonag	-9.90%	5.2
Osceola	-1.50%	41.5
Oscoda	-3.20%	15.3
Otsego	0.00%	46.9
Ottawa	4.50%	468.2
Presque	-2.90%	20.3
Roscom	-2.10%	47.1
Saginaw	-2.60%	250.2
St. Clair	-1.90%	226.1
St.	-0.60%	122.4
Sanilac	-3.70%	44.8
Schooler	-3.80%	7.2
Shiawas	-2.50%	133.1
Tuscola	-3.20%	69.4
Van	-1.40%	125.5
Washten	3.40%	488.4
Wayne	-3.20%	2,974.40
Wexford	0.50%	57.9

The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.